|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TC250/SC7/EG0 Notes | | | | | | 17th May 2013 | | |
| 9.00 GMT/10.00 CET | | |
| Teleconference | | |
| Meeting called by: | Andrew Bond (AB) | | Notes by: | | | Mark Lurvink (ML) | | |
| Invited attendees: | AB, Adriaan van Seters (AS) ML, Bernd Schuppener (BS), Roger Frank (RF) | | | | | | | |
| Apologies: | Giuseppe Scarpelli (GS) | | | | | | | |
| Pre-meeting documents: | None | | | | | | | |
| Agenda Items | | | | | | | | |
| Topic | | | | | Presenter | | Time Allotted (mins) | |
|  | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Notes of previous EG0 meeting on 15th April | | ML | | 5 | | | |
| 1. Specific Mandate Response | | AB | | 5 | | | |
| 1. Principles for handling future revisions | | BS | | 5 | | | |
| 1. Workgroup for Evolution | | ML | | - | | | |
| 1. Anchors – progress of prEN1997-1-A2 | | ML | | - | | | |
| 1. Conflict with ISO/TC 221 | | AB | | - | | | |
| 1. Response to SC8 on seismic design | | GS | | 5 | | | |
| 1. Progress of Evolution Groups | | AB | | 20 | | | |
| 1. Future meetings (to note)   27-28 May 2013: TC250 meeting: Copenhagen  17-18 Oct 2013: TC250/SC7/Experts meeting: Vienna | | AB | | 5 | | | |
| 1. Any other business | | (All) | | 5 | | | |
| 1. Dates of next meetings   (to confirm) Mon 24th June 2013  (to arrange) w/c Mon 22nd July 2013 | | (All) | | To note | | | |
| Notes of meeting | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Action** | | **By** | **Due by** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | The meeting was postponed until 13.00 GMT/14.00 CET due to technical issues. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | The notes of the previous meeting were approved. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 19 | EG7 and EG0 to make a proposal for requesting funding for preliminary harmonization of 'pile design'. | AB | 20120927 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 47 | ML to check maximum budget for tenders | ML | 20130828 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | The amendment on section 8 Anchors has been sent to the NSB's for voting (UAP) (Voting closes at 2013-06-21). |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 60 | ML to make arrangements for Experts meeting. Requests were made to use as much time as possible in Madrid. Proposal to start at 10.00 am with brief plenary session, close day 2 at 16.00 pm. | ML | 20130624 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 63 | Content wise, there appears to be agreement on what should go in and what should go out of the Eurocode. Wording such as "textbook" appear to have different values and content associated with them. Formulae and checklists are considered useful and should go in the code. BS to prepare examples for discussion. To be discussed next meeting. | BS | 20130624 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 64 | The workgroup for the evolution of Eurocode 7 should go live as soon as possible. Preferable before the Copenhagen meeting. AB to contact Ascensao Goncalo (CEN), ML to send emails on this matter sent to CEN to AB. | ML/AB | ASAP |
|  |  |  |  |
| 67 | ISO/TC 221 to be skipped from agenda. | AB | - |
|  |  |  |  |
| 68 | AB to propose liaison with SC 8 in Copenhagen. | AB | 20130528 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | The EG's should present clear deliverables in March 2014. This deliverable would then be the basis for the standard writers to work upon. The EG will then probably function as a feedback group/sounding board.  Considering the deadlines from the specific mandate, the EG's half yearly reports in October should therefore answer 4 fundamental questions:  1) What would you delete?  2) What would you keep unchanged?  3) What would you keep but change?  4) What would you add?  All these in relation to the current code and the specific mandate response. |  |  |
| 69 | AB to contact the EG's to list the top 3 or 4 priorities the EG wishes to focus on the coming months in relation to the deliverable for March 2014. These should be realistic goals, which can be expected to be delivered and thereafter handed over to the standard writers. EG's to respond within 2 months. | AB | 20130624 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 70 | Scopes and deliverables of EG's need to be checked in relation to the specific mandate response. EG0 will need to identify where EG's may not be able to deliver and step in if needed. Setup and memberships of the EG's should be evaluated, including EG0. EG0, 5, 6, 7, 10 and 11 were picked for evaluation. | ALL | 20130524 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 71 | AB to send program for Dublin to all. | AB |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Dates of next meeting(s):  **Mon 24 Jun at 10.00 CET, 9.00 GMT**  Wed 28 Aug at 10.00 CET, 9.00 GMT (to be confirmed next meeting) |  |  |